1

FOR OBLIGATORY FORMAL REGISTRATION:

COMMISSINER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 USA Fax:1-571-273-8300 Paris, 02d October 2006. Appl.10/508,967 (PCT/IB03/03315) Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe 75010 Paris France

<u>USPTO again continues Brigandage of Patents as proclamation at illiteracy level</u> without revealing concretisation therefore.

Mr.Jon Dudas (personally), Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of USPTO. [Copies to Dr.John Doll (Commissioner for Patents), Margaret Focarino (Deputy Commissioner for Patent Operations), Jay Lucas (Deputy Commissioner for Examination and PCT cooperation).

Dear High Responsible of Government!

Epigraph: "Intentional falsification of Primary Examiner JJ Stucker (US 10/505,353-PCT/EP02/02302) was confirmed and he was replaced but ordering Organization rested. Primary Examiner CB Coburn (already of US 10/508,967-PCT/IB03/03315) from Workgroup "Detachment" "3710 "Amusement Devices" and "Subdetachment" Art Unit 3714 "Electronic Amusement devices" (Director is great Specialist of Management Patents) tries to rob Application (based primarily on transformation of elementary particles!) costing milliards: "PostEintsein-Bohr definitive end and development of New Physics with consequences as superaccelerators (with speeds more than that of light)..." again proven as without corresponding level at illiteracy de facto of "amusement devices" in domain of elementary particles, of course without disturbing revealing concretizations therefore at unlimited ordered intentional arbitrary at such known own illiteracy".

Resumé of continuing Shame of Intentional USPTO crimes of Government. As apotheosis of Monolythic Ruling Organized continuing Grotesque, this time "the most Global and General Bases of Matter of Universe" established primarily with help of transformation of elementary particles (the main basis and the main fantastical practical Applications) were commanded to judge by special USPTO "detachments": "Amusement devices" of USPTO Divisional Workgroup 3710 with its Art Unit 3714, named also "Amusement Devices" (Director is justly Great Specialist of Management Patents), who, of course, and traditionally only unconcretely proclaimed fantastical sentences of sure intentional falsifications because Examiners, again, surely knew about their even officially exhibited (although for them only) ("N°3710-14") surely found and confirmed illiteracy in domain, chosen consequently by Organization logically as only the most habile Detachment" and "Division" in USPTO and it happened even after surely confirmed Crime against Humanity with precedent Application! Even formally (for Courts), it must be pure sure formal Brigandage of Ruling Despotism for only National <u>phase</u> of International Application. International Examination [made especially by Eur. Pat.Office- EPO], which is equivalent (and made according to the same laws) to that made by USPTO (as already accepted National US Examination too), was perfect (except one intentional idiocy with sure reparations that EPO avoids like this during 2 years) and USPTO, even formally unlegally made such auto-da-fé already demonstratively, inlegally. As authorized Champions of Ruling Ukases, commanded to "Amusement" "Division" even in domain of Great Physics of Elementary Particles and consecutive Global Physics of Matter with its radical Applications, such Incompetents, as anecdote for future generations, even permit de facto to deny whole fields of objective valuable science as astrophysics and really to deny that hydrogen bomb (made at Earth) could reproduce Thermonuclear synthesis of distant Sun principally. Generally, as all

Yullay all pages of Fax are signed

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 3 0 2006

2

World Great Physicists, I used the astrophysical experimental data ("Cosmic Laboratory") only to confirm Physical Laws, which open New Era of Revolutional practical Applications. The commanded demagogy of chosen (but why "N°3710-14"?) Champions of exceptional professional dexterity logically to be adapted to be completely unhonest in Patent Office and ready to do everything ordered behind their high titres, was again intercepted and seized as surely uncompetent and consequently as those trying again de facto to rob the superinvention that they know that they cannot really to judge as skilled men. As my chance of sure proofs, they chose, as sole best example of Inventiveness Perfection for me (with its false claims) the US Patent of outstanding Charlatanism of alleged invention: rotor of motor cannot be "half" shaded (to rotate) against isotropic cosmic particles ("rays") because these particles pass completely even through Sun. But moreover, by other chance, there was the special Chapter (in YZ) with very numerous Refs that explained such fantastical passage as due to speeds of particles higher than those of light and it was even directly used in my claims! So Examiner even did not read de facto this Superinvention, moreover, "to judge" and his knowledge of Particle Physics with Global Physics of Matter including revolutionary practical Applications was shown to be close to zero de facto. It looks as to exemplify, as the best invention, the complex of burettes and tubes for agglomeration of colloids, made for antiscience of their famous alleged Alchemy of their real Middle Ages! As too simple intentional Brigandage, Examiner only (as traditionally!) proclaims impossibility to "make and/or use the invention" even in this case of the opposite: of too clear and net practical processes of improvements (but revolutionary). I wrote here very clear chain of way of execution of main (by far) practical Applications (but with level much higher that that of "School of Michelson and Einstein"!) and ask USPTO (please!) to answer concretely against such intentional arbitrary Brigandage that is impossible to do 2 negatively. Moreover, there is demagogic habile deformation of very developed Invention with even "denial of the Law of Thermodynamics" making it even "inoperative"??? Simply, I did not deny established data for 2nd Law but only developed it, proving only reflection of light from the Universe borders (see the accurate ":"). Making unproven complications, Examiner denies even form of claims, taken more clear than those of professional USPTO attorneys wrote themselves or as classical simple "two-part" formulation with classical level "characterized in that". As apotheosis of surely intentional complications, Examiner even surely imagined "more crowded lines" with claims than at 1 1/2 obligatory interline, that is complete falseness. Complete Search with Searched Revolutionary theoretical basis of millenalums too (surely done and interpreted only for theoretical claim 1 too found US 6353311) evidently could not find any pertinent reference (as completely exemplary International Search for its basic similar PCT/IB00/00843). Complete acceptance of nonsense of above proven international USPTO absurdism remarks (even presence of which surely directly contradict to "PCT Guidelines" for search) with remarkable illiteracy in domain must lead to exemplary revolutionary Patent with clearest accelerators producing particles with superlight speeds (costing robbing milliards).

## DETAILED SUPPORT.

S1. Again Examiners could not read seriously the Application because of proven unserious level.

The Ruling Recidivistic (of famous Jungles with Witches) continues, like it is Tyrannosaury for infinity of Night of Humanity! Intentionally falsified (as 100% proven at unqualified level) Primary Examiner JJ Stucker was replaced [Appl. US 10/505,353 (PCT/EP02/02302)] but criminal invisible Ruling Organization (of Big Sister?), who logically all 8 pages of fax are figured

appointed him with such sure order against antifascist de facto of real Books (ISBNs), rested....

And it looks as nothing was changed in reality, but only masked! Again USPTO simply illegally robs other extraordinary Patent with strange proclamations, again without any concrete arguments (with again "rights" of Jungles), moreover directly against formal International Law and again at unserious level de facto as it was again revealed by chance. See below these simple proofs: Primary Examiner of this Application (US 10/508,967-PCT/IB03/03315) stipulates in sure reality that "no one of ordinary skill in art has the ability to use" thermonuclear synthesis of Sun (but as it was already used yet in 1950 in hydrogen bomb) because "no one of ordinary skill in the art has the ability to travel" on the Sun. The exemplary inadmissible for Examiner illiteracy de facto of as Primary "Colonel" Ki Ge of USPTO "Army" surely denies his adequate level for such military "judgements". (I cite this exemplary illiteracy ukase for extraordinary proven Science and its Applications: "Science fiction such as Star Trek notwithstanding, no one of ordinary skill in the art has the ability to travel to the edge of Universe or to manufacture a black hole. Therefore no one has the ability to use these phenomena"). Such illiteracy of Majesty "Colonel" of USPTO "Army" can (with logical Jungle power) deny Manuals: "The Cosmos is a wonderful laboratory... it can exist in states impossible to duplicate in a terrestrial laboratory... and astrophysical studies are so valuable" (For instance see books of Claus Grupen or MA Gordon and RL Sorochenko for de facto uneducated "Colonel" of shame). Moreover, illiteracy author (de facto) of such ukases certainly could not even read really Application and moreover its 177 published Refs with also cited numerous Patents: Sole patent, cited by MISTER Coburn as example is sure obvious stupid charlatanism (see details in §4 here), that cannot a priori work according justly to special §3 (2) (f) of my Application with numerous cited Refs ([124-138]!!).

§2. Even pure formal arbitrary: Law of famous Jungles with illiteracy (in Patent Law too) "Witches" is higher than International Law and Agreements. This is not simple National Application, but it is National phase of International PCT Application (of yet existing Washington Agreement), wherein, moreover, International Search Authority (ISA) Search was done by European Patent Office (EPO). And wherein, justly, according to Legislation, EPC makes the same! International Search for USA PCT Receiving Office (RO) [except "where such applications contain... claims relating to business methods" "PCT Applicant Guide vol. I (D) (EP)]. So this done International Search must be considered by USPTO equally with that done by the same EPO = ISA for USPTO as RO! It surely means, without doubt, even formally, USPTO must follow the same Search as its Guidelines and has mo rights (normally, without, again special order of Big Sister logically) to change it significantly. Moreover (even outside of PCT) there is a "Memorandum of Understanding" ("MoU") between USPTO and EPO about "harmonization or standardization of Search Strategies, tools and substantive patent law" (19 NOV 2004). Of course, new re-examination can detect new references, as it was, indeed done here. But such done complete changing of "substantive patent law" of International law [which is the same when EPO makes International Search for EPO or USA as RO (or USPTO makes it for US as RO = without any corrections at National phase)] is a priori completely illegal, except recidivistic Law of Jungles with Witches!

§3. Another "Memorandum of Understanding" as that of North Atlantic "Branches" of the same Big Sister? Common criminal Politics of World Tyranmosaury?

Surrounding United "Crowd of Wolves" trying to devour, in concert, sole antifascist victim anyway from any own side?

Moreover, one clearly see here "very strange" common strategy, confirming again existence of special invisible Organization, really Ruling United Governmental Offices de facto. For this Application, as ISA, EPO did not make any remark, except one: "A meaningful Search is

Viltage all 8 pages are signed

PAGE

not possible on basis of Claim 1 because it is directed to a scientific theory-Rule 39.1(i) PCT. Only claims 2-9 telate to applications of this scientific theory. Although claims 2-9 are, directly or indirectly, dependent on claim 1 and they therefore also include the scientific theory of claim 1, their subject-matter can be considered as being a technical nature because they compose feature of a process or a device.", claiming by this way the existence of "multiple inventions". All these EPO professionals (as organized epidemic with other my 2 last PCT Applications) very simply, certainly, intentionally interpreted Rule 39.1(i) PCT [= Art.52(2) (a) EP\$ oppositely due to some "fluidity" (wrong, of course) of text and justly after such ISA Search, the newly appeared "PCT Guidelines" (with reference to this Rule!) interpreted it already "for boys" (§9.05 "PCT Guidelines"): "When viewing the claims as a whole, if theories are applied or implemented to produce a practical application, search and preliminary examination is required". This stipulates that if scientific theory is new and inventive, it is certainly patented with its applications, otherwise for what to do very expensive Search and Examination for a priori unpatentable in any case!

0140468046

<del>02/</del>10/2006 10:33

In such cleares situation ("for boys" already), EPO (ISA) had to correct its evident simple intentional falseness of International Search. But even since entering into EPO National phase 2 years ago, EPC simply ignores (for 100% confirming intentionality of crime) obligatory procedural answer to §9.05 PCT and only tried to violate the procedure (in jumping illegally without National search Division to Examination one to avoid intentionally such answer). It was too simple.

So after such simplicity of correction (only clear direct §9.05) of evident crime at International Search phase, logically, EPO "Branch" of Big Sister too intentionally too long time without any possible pretext in slashed simplicity waited and waited that it will be USPTO newly, who could AVOID such simplicity of §9.05 ("PCT Guidelines") of Complete Search of scientific theory with its Applications (see USPTO Search in Form PTO-892-"Notice of references cited"). But newly re-examining USPTO could write (although illegally- see here §2) the accompanying complications, that EPO ISA Search did not write already at all (New §9.05 "for boys" was surprise for EPO logically). If it were EPO who did such complete Search (according to §9.05 PCT), new Exemplary International phase had to be repeated and obligatory globally for all countries (USPTO included!). "So", like in perfect "accord", we see that USPTO tried to complicate the simplest formal solution of §9.05, trying to make the things less obvious. Although, of course, USPTO violated International law (§2).

§4. Search don's by USPTO is revealed as complete Search with search of Scientific Theory (with its Applications). USPTO Search shows only one Ref (US 6353311), which relates only to Theoretical part of my Application. As common-like our part, authors (US6353311) "submit that "invisible forces at a distance" cannot occur without particle interchange". But reality is quite different. According to YZ, Physical Champs of forces are due to very frequent [10 sup (-22) sec] permanent waves of transformation of particles. But "US6353311" case is fundamentally different: it is simple real flux of real particles from Supernovae which makes the pressure (with forces). In spite of very limited number of Supernovae in Universe with very limited points of view, authors pretend that their "Universal particle flux.. traverses substantially to and from every point in the Universe". Without doubt, authors can pretend and pretend to use the flux cosmic "rays" (particles!) wherein justly directions of these cosmic "rays" are isotropic (including even that of Sun!) [YZ- Ref. 137] (and there is no other facts!!!). But authors [US6353311] also had to know great series of works [with given Refs 124-134!!! for instance] that these cosmic "rays" (omnipresent "particle streams") practically do not interact even with enormous Sun and Moon. So they HAD TO KNOW that their Application [with "half" shaded rotor of motor with small already obstacle (against these cosmic particles)] cannot obviously principally function at all because of theoretical impossibility of shading [for instance YZ- Refs 124-134].

all 8 payer of Fax one signed

USPTO Examiners not only did not know such spread fact, but de facto they did not read my invention, because in reality this is the most essential practical point of claims of this invention (YZ).

During all XX century, any genial "Einstein" could not explain such fantastical fact of passing of practically all cosmic CHARGED particles (with majority of charged protons) through Sun or Moon! Only this invention (YZ) proved that it is due to their velocities higher than those of light (and of electric field of passed substances), preventing interactions with such electric fields. Surely Examiner (as well all World Physics) will not find any other serious explanation. But this is even mentioned heart of claim 9 and also claims 4 and 5, that are the most important great practical advantages of invention (the rest is nothing in great practical comparison!, except claim 6)... So such Examiner even did not see even specially underlined very clearly resolved heart of invention. Which demagogy of imagined intentional falseness AGAIN (and it should be normally only from resting Organization again) in such case!!! IT IS A PRIORI CRIMINAL IN SUCH CASE!!!

Consequently, Claims of (specially cited for me by Examiner) Invention (US6353311) are clearly alleged to operate and unacceptable (§14.06 "PCT Guidelines"), confirming inadmissible level of such Examiner (moreover Primary), taking it even as EXAMPLE!.

[Moreover, cited as example, above US Invention (which even has no any reference and it is inadmissible even formally, moreover as example!!) is based also on mentioned by them absurd data [see YZ p.13 lines 20-33 that, of course, illiterate de facto Examiner did not read!].}

Demagogy of Jurigles. Examiner pretends that Scientific Theories (including any laws of nature: not so great ones also of course) are not patentable. It is complete very dangerous (for any honest man due to exemplary winning Ruling Demagogy at complete general support of Law of Silence à la Palermo de facto) falseness. De facto, there are, for instance, <a href="https://www.numdreds.numd

Moreover, the interpretation of Law by Examiner is absurd, unprofessional. Nobody can defend to use the law of nature as Examiner means. Law of patents stipulates only that "Scientific theories" (with natural laws) are patented only with their applications [§9.05 "PCT Guidelines" for Rule 39.1(i) PCT for USPTO Search too! at International and unchanged US National phases too! but not as such. This patenting only means that nobody can use details of this theory really to make the new preparations (for instance) that one will sell, but he cannot defend using the natural laws as such as pretends unqualified de facto 1st Examiner!....For instance, new device which measures the distance to object due to diminishing of measured gravitational force as  $1/R^2$  was surely patentable in 1700 with such relation (§9.05 "PCT Guidelines") without which it is not inventive, trivial. And nobody could use this relation to make other device (to sell) to measure distances!

§6. Impertinent intentional proclamation of illiterate demigration of OPPOSITE sense about execution of invention by real specialists but of annusement "detachments". It is "new and useful improvement" justly too clear to execute. Examiner (always by sole means of traditional proclamation to hide behind the illiteracy of "amusement devices" in The Most Global Physics de facto) announces like this that skilled person cannot "make and/or use the invention" after my Description. But the reality is really even opposite! It is impertinent. The

all 8 pages of Fox are signed Yulfage

PAGE 08

main practical improvements are even elementary and I have the chance "to possess" 37 CRF 1.104 (c) (4): "right for all series of previous basic inventions is owned by the same person", i.e. by me.

Please (only for such illiteracy de facto), to follow (and to answer that USPTO Organization cannot do negatively by concrete way as with Theorem of Pythagoras in abandoning finally its permanent proven intentional false proclamations) even very simplified here but sufficient proofs (although taken as very small part from great Converging Chapters of my Invention). A). Firstly, it is surely physically proven and calculated (YZ-Suppl. 1 and I can send even arithmetic for real schoolboys at professional "amusement" "Physics") that Nobel experiment of Michelson (that justly contradicted to all previous Classical Physics) is false. B). This already destroys the main postulate of Theory of Relativity (p.5 lines 5-10). C). And consequently "fastastical" phenomenon that all charged cosmic particles ("rays") pass (practically ALLI) even through Sun or Moon has sole serious explanation. [There are even ELEVEN Refs 124-134, that professional specialists of "amusement" not only did not know, not only did not read later, but their proclaiming Majesties (logically assured by backed Ruling Organization) could even did not read in text of special for this Chapter pp.24-25]. These particles have speeds higher that that of light and do not interact with electro-magnetic fields of Sun (having "only" speed of light). D). Moreover, as consequence of Theory of Relativity, famous E = mc2 is false and there is no annihilation of electron and positron, but there is only their Universal complete transformation into invisible neutrino and antineutrino which (also Universally) serves for propagation of electric field as partial frequent transformations which are supported by numerous data {I only resume in Supplement 1 of letter (§2(1), 3 and [2]). E). So the simple improvement of simple increase of concentration of electronic neutinos and antineutrinos at area of directing electric and magnetic fields of existing accelerators will increase velocities of interactions of such fields and corresponding velocities of accelerated particles. According to MPEP §608.01 (as well §4.21 and 5.50 "PCT 6 Guidelines") even "where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements.. may not by commonly.. known.., the specification should refer to patent or readily available publication"..", and I even (even!) made numerous references for too well known (even) Manuals (even) of simple accelerators. F). Of course, one could simply use existing beams of neutrinos and antineutrinos ("best refs in [143]" of hundreds pages). "The more that is known in the prior art.. about nature of invention..., the less information in application itself is needed to carry out the claimed invention" (§5.50 "PCT Guidelines").

But focusing of neutral particles is not good and I even invented the best (by far!) world beams (based on my own archi-inventions!) in well focusing charged parallel beams of electrons and positrons with their subsequent transformation into neutrinos and antineutrinos. But such beams were already used only for production of  $\gamma$ - rays, so all technical details of such devices are described in cited Refs (according even to  $\S608.01$  MPEP)! Moreover, such beams could be directly used for destructions (Claim 9!). Oppositely, all this is TOO SIMPLE to execute the invention and "by chance", I am protected by existing 37 CRF 1.104 (c)(4)! The above is the principal part of practical Invention, the rest is nothing in comparison. Although I shall mention only claim 2, because of fantastical simplicity of execution to change the periods of radioactivity of substances in putting simply the substance in simple shelter, moreover well described in cited 12 patents! So such logically purely false intentional grotesque Middle Age proclamations against simply executing described masterpieces is open blasphemy of human knowledge and even possibility to discuss.

§7. Is it intentional deformation or complete illiteracy or both of specialists of amusement "detachments" of USPTO. Examiner denies the operative character and utility of invention because the invention denies 2<sup>nd</sup> Law of Thermodynamics. Any schoolboy knows that laws of Thermodynamics are established with long human experience. It is not written

Yustage all Prayer of Fox on Figured.

that I deny such sure experiments, but I only develop human knowledge in proving: that light must reflect since Universe borders and surely established increase of entropy is not until infinity (as one could understand earlier the 2<sup>nd</sup> Law of Thermodynamics) although only for "light". It means that there is only Global End of 2<sup>nd</sup> Law of Thermodynamics (only generally, only absolutely). Deformation of sense is outstanding. Justly only this explains the strong excess of "light" in Universe. But only this is sufficient to deny all invention like this. And it is not as amusement but seriously to rob milliards!

§8. Forms of claims is not given by Examiner in spite of completely performed re-Examination without pertinent references. My claims as claims of USPTO professional Firms.

As mentioned (§4), the proposed sole cited Invention in complete USPTO Search (Form PTO-892) is pure charlatanism. Because the cosmic particles ("rays"), that they wanted to use, pass (as authors should know surely due to large series of works) entirely even through Sun and cannot be stopped at all "by half" shading of rotor of motor (and fantastically limited part of sky with all Supernovae is childlike tale of use). This important information, USPTO amusement "detachments" (surely with illiteracy de facto) not only did not know, but they did not read even special for this Chapter of YZ Invention (pp.24-25), directly used in claim 9 (and also claims 4 and 5). Consequently according to law, such claims (of US sole cited patent 6353311) of devices or processes "alleged to operate" are even not searchable (§14.06 "PCT Guidelines"). So this sure charlatanism (US 6353311) cannot be the example of claims, but oppositely, the example of charlatanism!

Examiner made Complete Search, because sole Reference surely concerned only even theoretical claim [§4]. It means all invention is new and inventive. And consequently all forms of claims were de facto sufficiently clear for Examiner to execute Search. In such formal situation, Examiner had to make "the amendment" with which he did conducted "the search based on the determined object" (§9.36 "PCT Guidelines"), that is repeated in USPTO 7 law too [MPEP § 707.07(j) II. Allowable except as to form]. "The examiner's action should be constructive in nature and, when possible, should offer a definitive suggestion for correction". But Examiner does not even show his amendments with which he conducted de facto Complete Search.

Moreover, clear simplicity of improvements of all processes is explained in Description (§6 here) and simply, clearly given in process claims (using the classical "two-part" formulations with words "characterized in that"). Sole device of claim (for use of process of improvement of claim 5) is basic improvement of existing devices (with given Refs) with complete technical details. All this (§6) is elementary clear, except false proclamations of Examiner. Only the theoretical claim 1 is large but its structure perfectly corresponds to §608.01 MPEP: "where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of claim should be separated by a line indentation". (I even did not have recommended "further subcombinations"). Moreover, I used the formulation proposed by Rule 6.3(b) PCT: "characterized by", although even "two-part formulation" is not obligatory, but only "whenever appreciate" (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).

Such formulation (even worse form of my initial Application) were never criticized at Searches before appearance of clear §9.05 and consequently before such "epidemic" to find logically something else instead [US 096537 (7/12/88); EP 034736; WO 00/052989- perfect exemplary Search, WO 02/051233; WO 03/094301]. Moreover, I specially took as best formulations for basic claims: "are characterized by following characteristics (= technical features!)", because it is "technical features" (characteristics") "which are necessary for definition of claimed subject-matter" according to Legislation [of "The most global and general New bases of Matter of Universe (carefully proven Physics itself!)" in this case, considered as a whole of given "elements" with practical application of this whole].

Yustage all 8 pages of for all signed

Simple viewing of US delivered Patents confirms the same purpose as falsification of real reading. For instance, US Patent N°6813987 makes super-long and (without comparison with me) super-complex Claim 1 with the same words: "characterized by following characteristics: a) the senior piston is in the form of ...; b) the control jacket is in ...". It is justly perfectly the same structure as in my case of theoretical basic claims with such sentences at numbering. And these claims were written in English (initial publication was in German) by specialized Chief of USPTO Attorney Firm who assisted 532 US Patents! It is naïve to judge him so severely! Have pity for specialized, experimented USPTO qualified attorney firms, please! One can easily see other analogous numberings with (often) more complex separate sentences with professional US agent firms: 7037697; 7057266; 6685593; 6677054; 6574018; 6372324; 5597612; 5593869; 5097526; 5041534; 4715358; 4398518; 4387686; RE29192. It could be thousands of such never forbidden claims made by <u>USPTO</u> professional attorney Firms.

By the way, separation by ":" (as I do as above professionals of USPTO in best US

patents do too) does not make two sentences.

0140468046

§9. Fantastical open falseness for complications? "The claims are objected to because the lines are crowded too closely together, making reading difficult". It is again intentional proven simple evident falseness. Best professional typewriter with obligatory interline 1 1/2 surely makes 41 lines with margins according to international law (Rule 11.6) (2 cm at top and 2 cm at bottom at "A4" page). You can see such 41 lines [1 ½!] too in my PCT publications WO 988/006837 p.2 by famous Great IBM typewriter or WO 1999/056288 p.28 by famous "Canon" typewriter). Many Applications only do not use all page, leaving for instance only 30 lines (I used here 39 lines) but "1 and 1/2" distance between lines must be the same. It is sure example of incredible special even formal complications against such author confirming all accusations for intentionality to rob patents of milliards. Very sincerely Yelfrey all 8 pages of Fox are signed Dr.Y.Zagyansky

Supplement 1 (of letter). Very numerous, even excessive, presented data about partial transformation of neutrinos. (Only for illiteracy de facto of USPTO amusement "detachments" in area of the most Global Physics with transformations of elementary particles). (1) Presence of cosmic particles with titanic energy (at large portions of sky) but without any "light" (electron-magnetic waves) because of evident absence of conducting light neutrinos between Universes. (2) Presence of partial charges of completely neutral neutrinos. (3) Presence of in mense radiation in Universe only due to reflection of "light" from Universe borders (as index of refraction at outside is infinity). (4) Massive creation of electronic neutrinos and antineutrinos during Supernova explosion after reaction electron-positron. (5) Complete ionization of all atoms of cosmic "rays" [without neutrinos between Universes, all (for instance 50!) electrons are lost]. (6) Such immense reflected concentrated electromagnetic energy sole explain perfectly different speeds of cosmic objects in Big Bang (Hubble Law), origins of Galaxies, eccentric plane- like rotations of Stars, production of spiral and elliptical Galaxies. (7) Perfect explanations [it is from most valuable Cosmic Laboratory accepted by specialists in elementary particles] of mysteries of Sun and planets (spots, periodicities, "earthquakes") with help of such dense nucleus of neutro in rotation, created after reaction with neutrino and antineutrino. (8) Presence of real lenses at very massive Black Holes due to real reflection of light by conducting neutrinos due to their concentration gradient due to their masses. It is too much, although Theory of Einstein was considered as proven only after one experiment with eclipse of Sun confirming only consequence of Theory of Relativity. Y Mey all 8 pages of too are signed